

[Click Here](#)



Our sales has greatly improved, _____ in the figure. Is it "as is shown" or "as shown"? Why? Thanks! "Shown" is the past participle of "show". Past participles are used in several ways. One use is to make a passive verb: I show the book. The book is shown. ...as I show in the figure...as is shown in the figure. Another use acts like an adjective: as shown in the figure. A clearer example of the adjective use is the past participle "beaten": verb: He was beaten. adj: He looks beaten. Can I also fill in "which is shown"? "Shown" is the past participle of "show". Past participles are used in several ways. One use is to make a passive verb: I show the book. The book is shown....as I show in the figure...as is shown in the figure. Another use acts like an adjective: as shown in the figure. A clearer example of the adjective use is the past participle "beaten": verb: He was beaten. adj: He looks beaten. When "shown" serves as an adjective, what is "as" then? A preposition? Yes. It means "like, similar to..." But it seems rare to see "as mentioned above". That's a perfectly good expression and not rare. So, basically we can say either "as shown - as is shown", "as known - as is known", "as mentioned - as is mentioned"? "Show, know, mention" are all verbs. Each has a subject (who is showing?), a direct object (what are they showing?) and an indirect object (who are they showing it to?). Sometimes you can omit 1 or more of these 3 things, but all 3 are implied. Even in sentences where we call "shown" an adjective (to match "grammar rules") it still has the same meaning: someone is showing something to someone. There are many different ways to re-arrange these 3 nouns, often omitting some of them. Birds can fly, as is shown (by the author to the reader) in picture 1. Birds can fly, as shown (by the author to the reader) in picture 1. Birds can fly, as shown (to the reader) by picture 1. Birds can fly, as picture 1 shows (the reader) Birds can fly, as I show you in picture 1. In picture 1, I show you that birds can fly. Picture 1 shows a bird flying (to the reader). Hi, may I add a question here? It is relevant to this thread. Can you please tell me why 4 doesn't work? 1. as is shown = as shown 2. as is known = as known 3. as is mentioned = as mentioned 4. as is expected (x), as expected (o) (source) Thank you! A. In your link, Parla says that "gets bigger" is wrong, not that "as is expected" is wrong. B. "As expected" means "as was expected", which means "as (someone) expected". But you need to consider the verb's subject for this phrase to make sense. 1. as is shown in the picture 2. as is known by who? who knows this? 3. as is mentioned in the text shown here 4. as was expected by who? who expected this? It is meaningless to say "as was expected" or "as is known" unless you tell us who knows this, or who expected this. Last edited: Dec 10, 2020 These phrases are not "idioms" or "standard phrases". They are normal words, using normal grammar to express meaning. Each expression needs to have meaning ("make sense"), not "fit a pattern". The words "shown", "known", "mentioned" and "expected" are past participles of the verbs "show, know, mention, expect". They are being used the same way countless other past participles are used: - as mounted- as decorated- as blamed- as requested- as decided- as agreed to/there a missing "is"? A missing "was"? That depends on the sentence, and how this phrase is used in the sentence. He didn't show up at work today, Tom had to cover for him. He didn't show up to work today, Tom had to cover for him. He didn't show up for work today, Tom had to cover for him. They all seem to make sense to me, I just wonder if there's any subtle difference between them? Thanks In English those are 2 sentences. Each comma needs to be a period. The rules are different in English and Chinese. All 3 examples (at/to/for) are correct. The difference is small: "at" refers to location. He shows up "at" work by arriving at the office. Here the noun "work" means the office. "to" and "for" refer to his scheduled "work" activity, not a location. Here the noun "work" means his 8-hour scheduled action. Thank you, Mr. Gao If your friend works as a boss in a company, but he always goes to work everyday by bike, would you say something like this, "Not a lot of people at your level show up at/for work on a bike", they all still sound natural in this situation, don't they? Last edited: Sep 29, 2019 They are all okay, but "at" sounds more natural. In this sentence you could say "show up at work" or "show up at the office", because you are emphasizing the idea that other people (in the office) see you with the bicycle. They are all okay, but "at" sounds more natural. In this sentence you could say "show up at work" or "show up at the office", because you are emphasizing the idea that other people (in the office) see you with the bicycle. Thank you. I got it. I just thought that "at" would sound a bit awkward, however which turned out to sound the most natural. I know this is a 2K thing, but man would I love to see something like MyNBA Eras in MLB The Show. It'd cost a lot, especially to get almost every single players' likeness from that era, but I came up with a few ideas for eras in the game. Maybe SDS could somehow convince whoever they need to create a MLBPA association for all retired players, but I doubt they'd ever be aggressive for anything close to that. Again, if it's not for DD (or finally RTTS), they don't care. Take a look - What's the benefit for the players joining this MLBPA for retired players association? Why would Ken Griffey agree to be paid the same for his rights as, say, David Freese? By the way, I think SDS could probably include all of baseball history, just like Out Of The Park Baseball does as long as they don't use the player likenesses. But considering how upset people get when current players have generic faces I can't see many loving the idea of random, generic faces on every past legend player. Nobody would want an Albert Pujols, Buster Posey, Ichiro, etc that did not resemble the actual player Since he's already in the game I don't think that would matter tbh He would obviously either ask for more money or not join the union at all or be content with what he's getting. Like I said, it would most likely never happen, but it would be cool and would probably earn SDS a lot more income. >>>_>